

APPLICATION NO.

10/502,110

P.O. BOX 10395

CHICAGO, IL 60610

757

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

FILING DATE

03/11/2005

7590

**BRINKS HOFER GILSON & LIONE** 

10/23/2006

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.

10555-085 2111

EXAMINER

TRAN, MINH LOAN

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER
2826

DATE MAILED: 10/23/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR

Cheng C. Ko

	·	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	10/502,110	KO ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Minh-Loan T. Tran	2826
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DOWN THE MAILING DOWN THE MAILING DOWN THE MORE THAT I After SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONI	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 Ju	<u>ıly 2004</u> .	
·—	action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is		
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	153 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> is/are pending in the application.		•
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		thembon tom
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		Minhloan Tran
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> are subject to restriction and/or o	election requirement.	Primary Examiner Art Unit 2826
Application Papers		Art Offic 2020
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	ır	
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc	•	Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 H.S.C. & 119/s	; a)-(d) or (f)
a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 55 0.0.0. § 110(c	27-(4) 51 (1).
1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		
application from the International Bureau	u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	y (PTO-413)
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	

Application/Control Number: 10/502,110 Page 2

Art Unit: 2826

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-8 and 17-27, drawn to a photodiode.

Group II, claim(s) 9-16, drawn to a method of forming a photodiode.

2. The inventions listed as Groups I and II do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

Inventions II and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process. In the instant case, unpatentability of the Group I invention would not necessarily imply unpatentability of the Group II invention, because the device of Group I invention could be made by a process materially different from that of the Group II invention. For example, the process of claim 9 can be materially altered by using an ion implantation process instead of diffusion process in order to form the p-type region.

Application/Control Number: 10/502,110 Page 3

Art Unit: 2826

3. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

- 4. The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.
- 5. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Minh-Loan T. Tran whose telephone number is (571) 272-1922. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:00 AM-5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nathan J. Flynn can be reached on (571) 272-1915. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/502,110 Page 4

Art Unit: 2826

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

MIt 10/06 Minh-Loan T. Tran Primary Examiner Art Unit 2826